10α-METHYL-EUDESMAN-8αH,12-OLIDES AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS FROM WEDELIA PINETORUM

WERNER HERZ and VIRGINIA E. SOSA

Department of Chemistry, The Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-3006, U.S.A.

(Received 30 October 1985)

Key Word Index—Wedelia pinetorum; Compositae; Heliantheae; eudesmanolides; sesquiterpene lactones; ent-kauranes; 8,12,13-trihydroxyoctadeca-10(E),15(Z)-dienoic acid; 9,12,13-trihydroxydeca-10(E)-enoic acid.

Abstract—The aerial parts of Wedelia pinetorum furnished small amounts of three new 10α -methyl-eudesman- 8α H, 12-olides. Other constituents were angelylgrandifloric acid, its 9β -hydroxy derivative and its 16,17-epoxide, 2,6-dimethoxybenzoquinone, 9,12,13-trihydroxyoctadeca-10(E),15(2Z)-dienoic acid and 9,12,13-trihydroxyoctadec-10(E)-enoic acid.

Since our last summary on constituents of Wedelia species [1], sesquiterpenes and seco-kauranoids have been reported from Wedelia regis [2] and the structures of several lactones from W. grandiflora, earlier assumed to be pseudoguaianolides, have been corrected to eudesmanolides with '5,10-anti' stereochemistry [3]. We now report the occurrence of three new such eudesmanolides 12 and 2a, c in W. pinetorum (Standl. et Steyerm) Becker (syn. Zexmenia pinetorum Standl. et Steyerm. [4]). Other compounds found were angelylgrandifloric acid (3a), its 9hydroxy derivative characterized as the methyl ester 3b [5], the 16,17-epoxide 4a previously characterized only as its methyl ester 4b [6], 2,6-dimethoxybenzoquinone and two trihydroxy-C₁₈-acids 5a and 5c recently isolated by us from Rudbeckia fulgida [7]. It is interesting that two antifungal acids apparently identical with 5a, c have recently been isolated from a rice cultivar suffering from rice blast disease [8].

Lactones 1a and 2a, b were a mixture from which small amounts of pure 2a were isolated by radial chromatography. Acetylation of the mixture and separation by radial chromatography gave 1b and 2b; angelate 2c or its acetate could not be isolated in pure form although the presence of 2c was inferred from the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture. Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of 1a, 1b and 2b (Table 1) with the spectra of lactones from Wedelia hispida [1] showed that these compounds differed from the earlier ones only in the absence of the oxygen function on C-3. The usual decoupling experiments which will not be detailed established the sequences H-1 through H-3 and H-6 through H-9; distribution of the acetate and tiglate (or angelate) moieties over C-6 and C-

The stereochemistry at C-11 of 2a was deduced on the following grounds: (a) the value of $J_{7,11}$ (11 Hz) and the solvent induced chemical shift of the H-13 doublet $(\Delta\delta_{\text{H-13}} = 0.16)$ which indicated that the C-11 methyl group was pseudoequatorial; (b) the observed NOE between H-11 and H-9 β (9%) and between H-13 and H-7 (~ 6 %). The stereochemistry assigned to C-1 is based on the coupling constants which duplicate those found in the compounds from Wedelia hispida* and on the observed NOE between H-1 and H-9 (9%), cis-Lactone ring closure to C-8 can be deduced from $J_{7,8}$; a small NOE (2%) between H-8 and H-14 supported the conclusion (model) that H-8 and H-14 are cis. Lastly coupling constants and the observed NOE between H-6 and H-15a (15%) agree with the stereochemistry shown for C-6.

The chemistry of W. pinetorum is not significantly different from that of other Wedelia species which have been studied. Lactones of type 1 or 2 have now been found in six of them as well as in some apparently closely related genera of subtribe Ecliptinae. Further phytochemical studies may possibly shed light on generic limits in the Zexmenia—Wedelia—Aspilia complex which are in need of revision.

EXPERIMENTAL

Aerial parts (10.2 kg) of Wedelia pinetorum (Standl. et Steyerm) Becker, collected by Mr. Gustavo Cruz in the vicinity of Tegucigalpa, Honduras, in late summer 1975 were extracted with CHCl₃ and worked up in the usual manner [9]. The crude gum (16.8 g) was adsorbed on 26 g of silicic acid (Mallinckrodt 100 mesh) and chromatographed over 200 g of the same adsorbent packed in C_6H_6 , 200 ml fractions being collected as follows: fr. 1 and 2 (C_6H_6) fr. 3 and 4 (C_6H_6 -EtOAc, 4:1), fr. 5 and 6 (C_6H_6 -EtOAc, 3:2), fr. 7 and 8 (C_6H_6 -EtOAc, 2:3), fr. 9 and 10 (C_6H_6 -EtOAc, 1:4), fr. 11 and 12 (EtOAc), fr. 13 and 14 (EtOAc-MeOH, 49:1), fr. 15 and 16 (EtOAc-MeOH, 19:1), fr. 17 and 18 (EtOAc-MeOH, 9:1), fr. 19-24 (MeOH).

¹⁵ as shown in the formulae was assumed by analogy with the compounds from W. hispida.

^{*}The values of $J_{1,2a}$ and $J_{1,2b}$ for 2a depend on the solvent; in CDCl₃ they differ somewhat from those for 2b (see Table 1) which together with values reported earlier [1] suggests that the conformation of ring A is slightly affected by small changes in substitution.

4a R = H 4b R = Me

$$\begin{array}{c}
OR \\
\vdots \\
OR \\
CH_2 \\
OAc
\end{array}$$

3a R,
$$R^1 = H$$

3b R = Me, $R^1 = OH$

5a $R, R^1 = H$

5b $R = Me, R^1 = Ac$

5c R, $R^1 = H$, 15,16 - dihydro

5d R = Me, $R^1 = Ac$, 15,16 - dihydro

Table 1. ¹H NMR spectra of 1a, b and 2a (CDCl₃, 270 MHz, J in Hz in parentheses)

Н	1a	16	2a*	2a (C ₆ D ₆)	2b
1	3.80 dd (10.5, 7)	4.88 dd (11.5, 4)	3.56 dd (9, 7)	3.11 dd (12, 4)	4.71 dd (11, 4)
2a	, , ,	` ' '	1.77 m	1.23-1.42 m	
2b			1.39 m		
3a			2-2.4 c	1.72-1.84 m	
3b					
6	5.82 d (2)	5.93 d (1.5)	6.02 d (1.5)	6.02 d	6.10 d
7	3.46 dq (7)	3.42 br dt (7, 2.5, 1.5)	2.48 br dd (10.5, 7)	2.04 br dd	2.48 br dd (12, 7)
B	4.87 ddd	4.82 ddd (7, 5, 4)	4.89 ddd (9, 7, 5)	4.42 ddd	4.85 td (9, 7)
9	2.60 dd (15, 4)	2.10	2.38 dd (15, 5)	2.07 dd	2.21 dd (15, 9)
9	#	‡	1.56 dd (15, 9)	1.31 <i>dd</i>	1.38 <i>dd</i>
11	<u>.</u>	-	2.35 dq (10.5, 7)	2.19 dq	2.36 dq (12.5, 7)
13	6.35 d (2.5)	6.36 d	1.39 d (7)†	1.23 d†	1.38 d†
	5.88 d (2)	5.88 d			
14†	1.10	1.26	1.21 br	1.13	1.29 br
15a	4.51 d (13)	4.55 d (12)	4.97 d (13)	4.35 d	5.01 d
15b	4.63 d (13)	4.61 d (12)	4.46 d (13)	4.35 d	4.40 d
3′	6.84 qq (7, 1.5)	6.88 qq	6.85 qq	6.86 qq (7, 1)	6.83 <i>qq</i> (7, 1.5)
4'†	1.80 (7)	1.84 br d	1.81 br d	1.39 dq (7, 1)	1.81 <i>br d</i>
5'†	1.81 <i>br</i>	1.86 br	1.82 br	1.74 q (1)	1.82 <i>br</i>
Ac†	2.03	2.03	2.04	1.67	2.03
		2.09			2.09

^{*} Signals of 2c in 5:1:1 mixture of 1a, 2a and 2c superimposed on those of 2a except for H-2 at 6.04 d, H-13 at 1.37 d, H-14 at 1.20, H-15 b and 4.48 d and H-3' at 6.12 qq.

[†]Intensity three protons.

[‡]Obscured.

Fr. 4 and 5 on standing overnight with cyclohexane–Et₂O gave 54 mg of a mixture of 3a and 4a which was rechromatographed over 20 g of silica gel using CHCl₃ containing increasing amounts of Me₂CO. This furnished 10 mg of 3a identical with authentic material and 9 mg of 4, mp 235° (dec); IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 1725, 1695, 1690, 1165, 1055; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.04 (br q, J = 7 Hz, H-3'), 4.80 (br, H-15), 3.12 (d) and 2.76 (d, J = 6 Hz, H-17a, b), 2.18 (br d, H-14a), 1.98 (c) and 1.94 (c, H-4' and H-5'), 1.26 (H-18) and 1.01 (H-20); MS m/z (rel. int.): 416 [M]⁺ (2.3), 333 (1.1), 316 (9.7), 301 (10.4), 283 (7.4), 83 (100). [Calc. for C₂₅H₃₆O₅: M_r , 416.2563. Found: M_r (MS), 416.2563]. An authentic sample was synthesized by oxidation of 5 mg of 3a with 10 mg of m-chloroperbenzoic acid.

Fr. 3 and 4, after filtration from the mixture of 3a and 4, wt 1.17 g, were chromatographed over 50 g of silica gel packed in CHCl₃, 20 ml fractions being collected in the following order: fr. 1-5 (CHCl₃), 6-10 (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 99:1), fr. 11-15 (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 49:1), 16-20 (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 24:1), 21-25 (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 47:3), 26-30 (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 9:1) and 31-35 (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 5:1). Fr. 19-28 (518 mg) contained a mixture of acids. Methylation followed by prep. TLC afforded a mixture of fatty acid methyl esters, 10 mg of 4b [6] and 3b [5, 10].

Fr. 7 and 8 of the original chromatogram and purification by TLC gave 8 mg of 2,6-dimethoxybenzoquinone. Fr. 9 and 10 (2.70 g) were rechromatographed over 130 g of silica gel using CHCl₃ containing amounts of MeOH in 50 ml fractions. Fr. 12 and 13 (0.80 g) was a mixture of three lactones. Repeated radial chromatography of 0.15 g of the mixture (hexane and hexane-Et₂O) eventually gave 5 mg of pure 2a as a gum, and mixtures containing various proportions of 1a, 2a and 2c. Acetylation of 30 mg of the lactone mixture and further purification by radial chromatography gave 5 mg of 1b and 15 mg of 2b, both gums.

1,15-Diacetoxy-6 α -tiglyloxy-10 α -methyl-7 α H,8 α H-eudesma-4,11-diene-8,12-olide (1b). IR $v_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm $^{-1}$: 1770, 1730 (br) and 1650; MS (CI) m/z (rel. int.): 447 [M + 1] $^+$ (3.6), 387 (19.8), 347 (24.8), 289 (42.8), 287 (29.4), 229 (23.5) and 101 (100). The 1 H NMR spectrum is listed in Table 1.

15-Acetoxy-1-hydroxy-6α-tiglyloxy-10α-methyl-7αH,8αH, 11 β H-eudesm-4-en-8,12-olide (2a). IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3500, 1770, 1740 (br), 1710 and 1650; the ¹H NMR spectrum is listed in Table 1.

1,15-Diacetoxy-6 α -tiglyloxy -10 α -methyl -7 α H,8 α H,11 β H-eudesm-4-en-8,12-olide (2b). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm film}$ cm⁻¹: 1780, 1735 (br), 1715 and 1650. The low resolution MS (EI) did not exhibit the molecular ion; significant peaks were at m/z (rel. int.); 328 (20), 306 (24), 246, 228 (39.3) and 213 (4.4); MS (CI) m/z (%) 449 (4.6), 389 (37.2), 289 (45.2), 269 (9.5), 239 (73.7), 231 (42.8) and 101 (100). The ¹H NMR spectrum is listed in Table 1, the ¹³C NMR spectrum in Table 2.

Fr. 14 and 15 of the original chromatogram (1.60 g) were rechromatographed over 65 g of silica gel packed in CHCl₃. Elution with CHCl₃ containing increasing amounts of MeOH gave 230 mg of solid material which on recrystallization from Me₂CO-cyclohexane gave 30 mg of a 1:1 mixture of 5a and 5c. Methylation with CH₂N₂ and acetylation (Ac₂O-pyridine) followed by prep. TLC (7% AgNO₃, C₆H₆-EtOAc, 9:1, two developments) gave 14 mg of 5b and 16 mg of 5d whose ¹H NMR spectra were superimposable on those of authentic material [7].

Table 2. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 2b (67.89 MHz, CDCl₃)

С	δ	
1	77.42 d*	
2	22.65 t	
3	28.30 t	
4	138.56 s	
5	132.46 s	
6	68.45 d	
7	48.08 d	
8	72.89 d*	
9	38.54 d	
10	38.31 s	
11	35.76 d	
12	177.73 s	
13	13.85 q	
14	20.16 s	
15	63.78 t	
1'	166.46 s	
2'	128.40 s	
3′	138.28 d	
4'	14.48 <i>q</i>	
5′	12.10 q	
Ac	170.43 s, 170.43 s	
	21.11 q, 20.77 q	_

^{*} Assignments by selective decoupling. The great difference in chemical shift in C-1 of 2 and C-1 of compound 6b of ref. [1] at 73.45 is notable and difficult to explain.

REFERENCES

- Herz, W. and Kulanthaivel, P. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2271.
- Bohlmann, F., Gerke, T., Jakupovic, J., Bothakur, N., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 1673.
- Bohlmann, F., Ang, W., Robinson, H. and King, R. M. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2069.
- 4. Becker, K. F. (1975) Phytologia 31, 25.
- 5. Bohlmann, F. and LeVan, N. (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 579.
- 6. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 786.
- 7. Herz, W. and Kulanthaivel, P. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 89.
- Kato, T., Yamaguchi, Y., Abe, N., Uyehara, T., Namai, T., Kodama, M. and Shiobara, Y. (1985) Tetrahedron Letters 26, 2357.
- 9. Herz, W. and Högenauer, G. (1962) J. Org. Chem. 27, 905.
- Herz, W., Kulanthaivel, P. and Watanabe, K. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 2021.